Tit 1000

DEPARTMENT OF STATE BUREAU OF INTELLIGENCE AND RESEARCH

4/14/64

Tou.

it back.

Dus is the little weens to Roper I wentimed to you in the can this aroning. It's any only copy, so could I have

Copy to WH- Chet Cooper 10/1/64



If we look at the Sino-Soviet dispute purely in balance-ofpower terms, the US ought legically to support the Chimese (or
weaker) side. Both the CFR and USER oppose US interests, but of
the two it is only the vastly more powerful Soviet Union which has
the wherewithal directly to threaten the US with major damage, and
to operate to our material detriment on a world-wide scale. Hence,
our efforts should be to weaken the stronger and strongthen the
weaker side in order to prolong a dispute which is to some extent
debilitating to both. Indeed, a case can be unde that it would not
necessarily be contrary to US interest for Communist China to
acquire a modest nuclear-weapons capability which could interpose
something of a deterrent to Soviet military pressure but which
would not threaten the US.

Limitations on US Policy

Obviously, however, there are a variety of considerations which influence US policy in directions away from classic balance-of-power considerations:

-a sudden velte face in our relations with the USSR and CFR would hardly be suppersed by domestic public opinion, by our allies or by nameligned governments.

-we would not wish to encourage Chinese expensionism too much. While a cortain amount of Chinese pressure on its periphery -- the Sino-Indian border dispute is an example -- works to our not advantage, resolution of such situations by Chinese victories at the expense of the free-world would not.

eutlock less heatile to the West. Since we do not plan to go to war with the USSR, our long rum interest is to promote Soviet evolution in the direction of more civilized international behavior. This requires us to give some measure of approval to Soviet ideological positions on perceful occaistence and non-inevitability of war.

Chinese Heterodoxy Still Valuable

The last point needs qualification, however. While we wish to approve of Seviet moves in our direction, we still have an interest things opposition to Moscow -- regardless of the platform from

COMPIDENTIAL CHY/63

- 2 -

which it is mounted. In passing, we note too that the present configuration of Seviet "liberalizam" versus Chinese "rigidity" has not always existed and might change in the future. The Chinese not so long age were the proponents of the "hundred flowers" line and the allies of Polish resistance to Hosees's distation. Even today Chinese opposition to Soviet policy still serves to enhance Eastern European freedom of action and hence diversity in the Communist world.

Policy Implications

In framing policy we should bear in mind that we have an interest in keeping the Sino-Soviet dispute going. We have an interest in aiding the Chinese, at least to the point of assuring that they cannot be brought to heel by Soviet pressure. Since the most valuable influence Noscow could ever exercise on Chinese Communist policy on our behalf — the denial of strategic backing from Chican advantures — operates more effectively than ever while the dispute is acute — we have no interest in any sort of Sino-Soviet accommodation (not even one based on Polping's capitulation).

For the present there appears to be little that we can actively do to improve matters. However, we may as we go along find occasions to refrain from moves which might further weaken the Chinese communists (unless, of course, they premised to end the Communist regime on the Mainland -- which would be an entirely different salculation). For example, we may not wish to attempt to block (minese efforts to find alternative sources for PCL or other examedities. We would certainly not forese joining the Poviet Union in military pressure or action against the Chinese, if Moscow ever decided to go that far. And we have an interest in maintaining quiet direct channels of communication with Peiping (such as Varsaw) both to be able to convey messages to it in case of need and to have the radiments of an entree in the event it should become advantageous to build more normal relations.



This document is from the holdings of:

The National Security Archive

Suite 701, Gelman Library, The George Washington University

2130 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20037

Phone: 202/994-7000, Fax: 202/994-7005, nsarchiv@gwu.edu